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Abstract
Among its many treasures, the Cathedral of Miranda do Douro in the district of Bragança in Portugal houses the only known exemplar of Diego de Bruceña’s book of polyphony (Salamanca: Antonio Vázquez, 1620). This choirbook preserves the most significant body of the works of a composer whose compositions were thought to be almost entirely lost. Close study of the choirbook allows us to construct a more detailed and nuanced understanding of the printing of sacred music, and especially the printing of polyphonic choirbooks, in Salamanca in the first decades of the 17th century. A central rôle in the printing of the choirbook was played by Susana Muñoz, an enterprising business woman who, through successive strategic marriages to key figures in Salamanca’s thriving printing trade, built a firm that in the period 1602 to 1625 issued more than 120 titles. This article seizes the occasion of the 400th anniversary of the choirbook’s production to introduce Bruceña’s choirbook within the wider context of music printing in Salamanca in the period 1607-1620, and to sketch the broader contours of the contribution of Susana Muñoz, the three husbands with whom she worked, and all of whom she survived.
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Resumen
Entre sus muchos tesoros, la Catedral de Miranda do Douro en el distrito de Bragança en Portugal alberga el único ejemplar conocido del libro de polifonía de Diego de Bruceña (Salamanca: Antonio Vázquez, 1620). Este libro de coro conserva el conjunto más significativo de las obras de un compositor cuyas composiciones se pensaba que estaban casi completamente perdidas. El estudio detenido del libro de coro nos permite elaborar una comprensión más detallada y matizada de la impresión de la música sacra, y especialmente de los libros de coro polifónicos, en la Salamanca de las primeras décadas del siglo XVII. Un papel central en la impresión del libro de coro lo desempeñó Susana Muñoz, una emprendedora mujer de negocios que, a través de sucesivos matrimonios estratégicos con figuras clave del floreciente comercio de la imprenta de Salamanca, mantuvo una imprenta que en el período 1602-1625 emitió más de 120 títulos. Este artículo aprovecha el 400 aniversario de la producción del libro de coro para introducir esta colección de Bruceña en el contexto más amplio de la impresión musical en Salamanca en el período 1607-1620, y para esbozar los contornos más amplios de la contribución de Susana Muñoz y sus tres maridos.
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more than 120 titles.¹ In the 1620s, the firm’s operations were taken over by Jacinto Taberniel, Susana’s only son (†1641), who would later describe himself as ‘impresor de la Vniuersidad’. In the period 1607 to 1620, no fewer than seven atlas-sized choirbooks emerged from the print shop in Salamanca founded by Muñoz’s first husband, the Fleming Artus Tavernier. Three of these magnificent polyphonic choirbooks were devoted to music by Sebastián de Vivanco (ca. 1550-1622), three were devoted to music by Juan Esquivel de Barahona (ca. 1563-after 1612), and one — the subject of this article — to music composed by Diego de Brucena (1567-1623). Taken together, the seven books contain more than 280 Latin liturgical works scored for between four and twelve voices printed on a total of more than 2,300 pages. One of them, Esquivel’s Psalmorvm, Hymnorvm, Magnificarvm…Tomvs Secvndvs (1613), with a page count of almost 600, takes pride of place as one of the largest choirbooks ever printed. In the period 1580 to 1625, Tavernier, his wife, and their heirs printed twelve books containing music, eleven of them in Salamanca (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication²</th>
<th>Format</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premier Livre des Hymnes anciens, mis en vers français, par Ch. de Navières. Anvers: Chez Artus Tauerneir, à la Rose d’or, 1580.</td>
<td>16⁰</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ On women printers in early modern Spain, see Mónica Cortés Corral and Victoria Méndez Viar, “Impresoras madrileñas en el Siglo de Oro: Juana Martínez de Angulo”, Anexos de Siglo, 4 (2001), pp. 185-211; Vicente Bécares Botas, Avance para una guía del mundo del libro salmantino del siglo XVI (Zamora: Ediciones Monte Casino, 2002), pp. 8-9; Pedro Manuel Cátedra García and Anastasio Rojo Vega, Bibliotecas y lecturas de mujeres (siglo XVI) (Salamanca: Instituto de Historia del Libro y de la Lectura, 2004); and Albert Corbeto, “Las musas ignoradas. Estudio historiográfico del papel de la mujer en el ámbito de la imprenta”, in Muses de la Imprenta. La dona i les arts del llibre. Segles XVI-XIX, ed. Marina Garone Gravier and Albert Corbeto López (Barcelona: Museu Diocesà de Barcelona and Associació de Bibliòfils de Barcelona, 2009), pp. 21-42.

² Bibliographical citations in Table 1 are transcribed from surviving exemplars. In the case of books for which neither a title page nor a colophon survives, hypothetical reconstructions are provided within square brackets. Asterisks indicate books that were acquired by Zamora cathedral during the period of Brucena’s tenure as maestro de capilla (see footnote 26 below).

Francisco de Montanos, Arte de canto llano, con entonaciones comunes de coro, y altar, y otras cosas. Salamanca: en casa de Francisco de Cea Tesa, a costa de Andrés López, mercader de libros, 1610.

Francisco de Montanos, Arte de canto llano, con entonaciones comunes de coro, y altar, y otras cosas. Salamanca: en casa de Susana Muñoz Viuda, a costa de Andrés López, mercader de libros, 1616.

Francisco de Montanos, Arte de canto llano, con entonaciones comunes de coro, y altar, y otras cosas. Salamanca: en casa de Francisco de Cea Tesa, a costa de Andrés López, mercader de libros, 1610.
First, we now have a significant body of the works of a composer whose compositions were thought to be almost entirely lost. Second, we are now able to construct a more detailed and nuanced understanding of the printing of sacred music, and especially sacred music in choirbook format, in Salamanca in the first decades of the 17th century. To date, almost everything we know about the Bruceña cathedral of Segovia. At Oviedo cathedral, archivist Don Agustín Hevia Ballina provided all manner of help, as did the canon-archivist Don Andrés Sánchez Sánchez at Ávila’s cathedral. At the cathedral of Burgos, I was kindly assisted by canon-archivist Don Matías Vicario Santamaría and I thank Doña Guadalupe Pérez Ortiz, director of Ecclesiastical Archives in the archdiocese of Mérida-Badajoz. Doña María del Carmen Fuentes Nogales, director of Ecclesiastical Archives in Córza-Cáceres and Plasencia, graciously assisted me at the Cathedral of Coria. I remain grateful to Don Juan Pedro Sánchez Gamero, canon-archivist of Toledo cathedral, to his distinguished predecessors Don Ángel Fernández Collado and the late Don Ramón González Ruiz, and to the inestimable Alfredo Rodríguez González and Isidoro Castañeda Tordera. I also thank Don Alejandro García Torre, canon-archivist at the cathedral of El Burgo de Osma. For his assistance at the Museum Plantin-Moretus in Antwerp, I thank Dirk Imhof, Curator of Books and Archives. Access to the archive of the cathedral of Mexico City was generously facilitated by Salvador Hernández Pech and Lucero Enríquez Rubio. In addition, I gratefully acknowledge the help offered by the staffs of the British Library in London, the Biblioteca Nacional in Madrid, the Archivo Histórico de Protocolos de Madrid, the Biblioteca de Castilla-La Mancha in Toledo, the Archivo Histórico Provincial de Salamanca, the Archivo Histórico Provincial de Zamora, the Archivo Histórico Provincial de Ávila, the Biblioteca de Catalunya in Barcelona and, closer to home, Anne Kenny and her splendid team at Boston College’s O’Neill Library and Christian Dupont, librarian of the John J. Burns Library. I am equally indebted to the library of the Hispanic Society of America in New York, and its Curator of Manuscripts and Rare Books, Dr John O’Neill. I thank Soterraña Aguirre for facilitating access to the archive at Valladolid cathedral and Jorge Martín who graciously shared with me his study and transcription of Alonso de Tejeda’s third book of motets. Graeme Skinner has also offered assistance and expertise, especially in the preparation of the inventory and the music example in this article. Finally, I offer an enormous vote of thanks to Ascensión Mazuela-Anguita for her assistance with the transcription of archival documents and to the late José López-Calo, S. J. and Emilio Ros-Fábregas for their encouragement and enthusiasm for this project. An earlier version of this article was presented at the 47th Medieval and Renaissance Music Conference in Basel, 3–6 July, 2019. See <https://hispanicpolyphony.eu/source/28270>.

### Table 1. Music books printed by Tavernier, Muñoz, and their heirs.

Until recently, it had been assumed that no copies of the choirbook containing Bruceña’s Latin liturgical works had survived. The 2015 announcement, however, by the distinguished art historian and scholar António Rodrigues Mourinho that a damaged exemplar had been located in the Cathedral of Miranda do Douro in the Northeastern Portuguese district of Bragança allows us to fill two significant lacunae in our knowledge of Spanish sacred polyphony in the first quarter of the seventeenth century.⁴

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Format</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Didacvs de Brvceña, Liber Magnificarvm, Missarvm, et motectorvm]. Salm[an]ticae: Ex officina typographica Antonij V[ázquez], 16[20].</td>
<td>*choirbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francisco de Montanos, Arte de canto llano con entonaciones comunes de coro y altar, y otras cosas diversas, como se vera en la tabla. En todo va acentuado el punto con la letra y algunas cosas remitidas puestas ad logum. Salamanca: en casa de Antonio Vázquez a costa de Antonio López Calderón, 1625.</td>
<td>⁴º</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴ See <http://www.rotadascatedrais.com/en/destaques/479-miranda-descoberta-obra-de-brucena-na-concatedral> and António Rodrigues Mourinho, “Obra inédita de un músico español en Portugal”, Patrimonio. Revista de patrimonio y turismo cultural, 58 (2016), pp. 36-38. I thank Juan Ruiz Jiménez and Alfonso de Vicente for drawing this article to my attention. The Cathedral of Miranda do Douro is now administered by the Museu da Terra de Miranda. I am deeply indebted to Célina Bárbaro Pinto, the Museu’s director, for her invitation to study the Bruceña imprint and for her generous hospitality during my visits to Miranda do Douro. I am similarly grateful to the custodians of the many libraries and archives I have visited in the preparation of this article. Special thanks go to José Carlos de Lera Maíllo at the Archivo Diocesano y Catedralicio de Zamora and to Dr. Correia Élia, Director of the Arquivo Distrital de Bragança (Portugal). At the cathedral of Salamanca, I was warmly welcomed by canon-archivist D. Casimiro Muñoz Martín, Raúl Vicente Baz, Pedro José Gómez González, Víctor José Rodríguez Martín, and Patricia Burgueño Rioja. Bonificcio Bartolomé Herrero generously facilitated my studies at the...
volume is based on a thorough and meticulously-researched article by Alejandro Luis Iglesias. It was this article that introduced Susana Muñoz to musicology and while her involvement in the genesis of Bruceña’s choirbook was pivotal, it is by no means the beginning of the story of her involvement with the printing of sacred music. Just as Susana Muñoz’s leading rôle as a printer of sacred music has been ignored by both musicologists and historians of print, so too has her responsibility for the production of the more than 30 books that bear her personal imprint on their title pages and colophons. These books, whose formats ranged from quarto to folio, and that were printed with texts in Latin and/or Castilian, embrace subjects as diverse as jurisprudence, poetry, religious history, sermons, music theory, and medicine. The present article seizes the opportunity provided by the 400th anniversary of its production, to introduce Bruceña’s choirbook within the wider context of music printing in Salamanca in the period 1607-1620, and to sketch the broader contours of a more comprehensive forthcoming study that will focus on the contribution of Susana Muñoz, the three husbands with whom she worked and all of whom she survived, and the son to whom she finally bequeathed her printing enterprise.

DIEGO DE BRUCEÑA (†1622): “RAPAZ, VELLACO, DESVERGONZADO”

We begin with a brief biographical sketch of a composer who, while held in high esteem for his musical prowess, was a frequent source of scandal and conflict. That Diego de Bruceña was most likely born in or near Orense, can be deduced from documentary records that place him as a boy chorister, and later as maestro de capilla, at Orense’s cathedral. He served as maestro in Orense from 1589 to 1593 and subsequently held chapellasteries in the cathedrals of Oviedo (1593-1594), León (1594-1600), Burgos (1601-1608), and Zamora (1608-1622). One of the principal sources for our knowledge of Bruceña are the Actas capitulares (hereinafter AC) from these five cathedrals in Spain’s North West.

We learn, for example, that on 1 August 1594, the dean and chapter of León cathedral wrote to Bruceña, at the time maestro de capilla in the cathedral of Oviedo, offering him the post of maestro in León with an annual salary of 100,000 maravedís. Less than a year later his salary was increased by 12,000 maravedís. Only a few years earlier, in the winter of 1591, the cathedral’s governing chapter had met to specify the duties of the maestro de capilla and to define details of the exacting examination that aspirants to the position would be required to satisfy.

In Burgos, at the first hint that Bruceña might be tempted to seek employment elsewhere, the chapter met to convert the chapelmaster’s prebend ad nutum amovible to a per

---


6 The most complete bibliography of the titles printed by Artus Tavernier, Susana Muñoz, Francisco de Cea Tesa, Antonio Vázquez, and Susana’s son Jacinto Taberniel will be found in Alexander Samuel Wilkinson and Alejandra Ulla Lorenzo, eds., Iberian Books Volumes II & III: Books Published in Spain, Portugal and the New World or elsewhere in Spanish or Portuguese between 1601 and 1650 / Libros Ibéricos volúmenes II y III: Libros publicados en España, Portugal y el Nuevo Mundo o impresos en otros lugares en español o portugués entre 1601 y 1650 (Leiden: Koninklijke Brill NV, 2016). See <https://iberian.ucd.ie/index.php>.

---


8 Dionisio Preciado, Alonso de Tejeda (ca. 1556-1628), polifonista español (Madrid: Editorial Alpuerto, 1974), vol. 1, p. 38, n. 82.

9 Preciado, Alonso de Tejeda, vol. 1, p. 38, n. 86.

manent position.11 Within months, the Capilla Real in Granada attempted to interest Bruceña in the vacancy created when Juan Martín Riscos left Granada for the cathedral of Jaén. The chapter in Burgos responded by offering Bruceña a salary bonus of 800 reales and in January 1603, the bonus was made permanent on condition that the chapelmaster remain in Burgos for a period of six years.12 This agreement seems to have been forgotten, however, when, on 1 April 1604, the chapter granted Bruceña leave so that he could join the contest for the coveted position of maestro at Toledo cathedral.13 By 1606, however, the Burgos chapter’s mood had changed decisively: when Bruceña requested leave to contest the chapelmastership in Sigüenza, only five members of the chapter voted in favour while twenty-five voted against his petition.14 And on 3 March 1608, the Burgos chapter dismissed Bruceña, unambiguously voicing its unalloyed disdain for his lack of loyalty. Five days later he was formally appointed maestro at Zamora cathedral.15 Among the Zamoran cathedral dignitaries who voted in favour of Bruceña’s appointment was the cathedral’s canónigo magistral Juan Pérez de la Serna (ca. 1566-1631) who later, as archbishop of Mexico, would play a key role in the 1624 tumult of Mexico and who, as Luis Iglesias discovered, was the dedicatee of the Bruceña choirbook.16 In the same year that Bruceña took up the reins at the head of Zamora cathedral’s musical establishment, the cathedral took delivery of Sebastián de Vivanco’s freshly-minted Liber Magnificarum (Salamanca: Taberniel, 1607), compensating the composer with the sum of 100 reales.17 This book, with Pieter Perret’s (1555-1637) superb signed engraving depicting the composer on its title page, must have made a profound impression on Bruceña, for, in the contract his representatives signed with Susana Muñoz in February 1620, Vivanco’s book was specified as the model for his own polyphonic choirbook.18 It is perhaps not too speculative to imagine that ...

---

13 AC Burgos cathedral RR 71 (1 April 1604), fol. 522r. See López-Calo, Catedral de Burgos, vol. 4, p. 58, document 1.650 and AC Toledo cathedral (21 May 1604), vol. 23, fols. 182r-184r. The unusually detailed record of the expert musical skills that were expected from the contestants, and that is given in the Toledo AC, is examined in Philippe Canguilhem, “Singing upon the Book according to Vicente Lusitano”, Early Music History, 30 (2011), pp. 55-103.
15 Burgos cathedral RR 71 (3 March 1608), fol. 415. See López-Calo, Catedral de Burgos, vol. 4, p. 126, document 1.931: “…y resolvió que atento que por el dicho Cabildo se han hecho muchas mercedes al dicho maestro de capilla en diferentísimos tiempos y él la ha reconocido muy mal, procurando salir desta santa iglesia y entrar en otras santas iglesias sin dar cuenta al Cabildo, y por otras justas causas que a ello les mueve[n], que se despidan desde luego al dicho maestro de capilla y le han por despedido…”. See Preciado, Alonso de Tejeda, vol. 1, p. 67, n. 47 for a transcription of AC Zamora cathedral vol. 1, fol. 65r (8 March 1608).
17 Archivo diocesano de Zamora (hereinafter ADZ), Libro de cuentas de fábrica [de la catedral] 1607-1623 (T. 112), fol. 14v: “Año de 1608: M[aestr]o de capilla de sal[mán]ca. descarganse ciento Reales que por mandado del Caulido se dieron al Maestro de capilla de Salamanca por un libro que ymbio de Magníficas de canto de Organo ilUccce”.
18 In the final decade of the sixteenth century and the first decade of the seventeenth, Perret stood unrivalled in Spain in the art of copperplate engraving of illustrations and title pages for a variety of books. While he is perhaps most renowned for his engravings, produced between 1583 and 1589, of Juan de Herrera’s (1530-1597) designs for Philip II’s Escorial palace, he was also a skilled portraitist. Perret, like Tavernier, was born in Antwerp. In 1581, he moved to Rome before being called to Spain in 1583 by Herrera, who required superlative skills for his architectural engravings. In 1595 Perret was granted an annual subsidy of 100 ducats by Philip II and from 1597 he signed his work P. Perret Scalp[or] Regis (“engraver to the King”). See Matilde López Serrano, “El grabador Pedro Perret”, in El Escorial, 1563-1963. IV Centenario de la fundación del Monasterio...
Bruceña, impressed by the music typography of Artus Tavernier, Susana Muñoz’s first husband, began laying early plans for the printing of his own polyphonic anthology. Indeed, it seems that Zamora, where he would remain until his death on 23 December 1622, offered the composer the kind of stability that would be conducive to a well-planned compositional agenda.

Archival documents from Zamora cathedral attest to Bruceña’s regular and successful negotiations for salary increases. And this time, in contrast to his behaviour in Burgos, Bruceña remained faithful to a commitment he made to remain in Zamora for the rest of his life. If this promise were tested in April 1616, however, when the cathedral of Santiago de Compostela and its archbishop Maximilian of Austria sought to attract the composer to the post recently vacated by Periáñez, Bruceña successfully parlayed the offer to persuade the Zamora chapter to increase his salary and to provide him with a house.\(^{19}\)

\(^{19}\) AC Zamora cathedral, vol. 1, fol. 166r (12 December 1612): “Aumento de salario Al maestro de Capilla. En la ciudad de çamora Miercoles A doçe días del mes de diciembre de mill y seiscientos y doçe años los s[eñor]s Dean y Cauilo de la sancta yglesia y [h]asta aqui sobre sauer Don gaspar prieto de Aldana Ar[cediano] de Toro y canonigo don fernando de figueroa Todos Canonigos Prebendados de la dicha ciudad como lo tienen de uso y yglesia Catredal de la dicha ciudad estando juntos en su hordi...”

In addition to offering precise dates and other details of Bruceña’s employment, the AC of the cathedrals in which he served reveal the chapelmaster to have been a decidedly quarrelsome character. As early as 1591 the chapter of Orense cathedral had received complaints about Bruceña’s behaviour that were too salacious to be put into writing and in 1592 he and a prebendary named Pascual engaged in a bloody altercation in which Pascual called Bruceña a “shameless, rapacious villain” (“rapaz, vellaco, desvergonzado”) to which Bruceña responded: “Rogue Jew, who on seeing St Peter chop off Malcho’s ear would, like a Jew, have hacked off the other ear” (“judío vellaco, que de la manera que sant Pedro había sacado la oreja a Malchus, él como a judío se le había de sacar otra”).\(^{20}\) In 1604, in Burgos, the prosecutor Damián Bueno denounced Bruceña and the tiple Alonso de Tobar for a violent altercation in the Convento de la Merced, in a case that was still being pursued before the courts fourteen years later.\(^{21}\) In 1605, the same prosecutor accused Bruceña and an accomplice —his housemaid— of having seduced a number of women.\(^{22}\) And in Zamora, in December 1611, the entire band of recently dismissed cathedral minstrels visited Bruceña’s house where insults were angrily traded and Bruceña’s life was threatened.\(^{23}\)
Lamenting what they understood to be the loss of all exemplars of Bruceña’s book of polyphony, both López-Calo and Luis Iglesias cited the two works of Bruceña’s that were known to have survived: a Lauda Jerusalem 8vv (see Music Example 1) and a De profundis 8vv. And while we know that Bruceña composed “una comedia para la noche de navidad” in Ourense and villancicos for all the cathedrals he served, none of these works is known to survive.

From its Libro de cuentas de fábrica 1607-1623 we know that Zamora cathedral purchased, in addition to Vivanco’s Liber magnificarum (1607), copies of Vivanco’s book of masses (1608), all three of Esquivél’s polyphonic choirbooks (1608 and 1613) and, in 1620, Bruceña’s own book of polyphony. There can be no doubt that Bruceña was thoroughly familiar with the choirbooks printed by the firm founded by Tavernier and Susana Muñoz, and it was to her that Bruceña turned when in 1620 he was ready to see a book of his own through the press.


The earliest record thus far uncovered concerning Susana Muñoz is found in a baptismal register from Salamanca cathedral. On 18 March 1589 Susana Muñoz and her husband Artus Tavernier (described as a “fundidor de letras”) appeared as godparents at the baptism of a daughter of the printer Juan del Campo and his wife Ana de Amberes. Susana was the daughter of Pedro Muñoz and Catalina Hernández; she bore five daughters — Antonia (b. 1589), Susana (b. 1591), Teresa (b. 1596), Isabel (b. 1598), and Amaya (b. 1605) — and one son, Jacinto.

26 ADZ, Libro de cuentas de fábrica [de la catedral] 1607-1623 (T. 112), fols. 14v, 42v, and 312v.
In about 1621, the latter would inherit the printing house that Susana was to build in consecutive partnerships with her three husbands. The earliest records documenting the presence in Salamanca of Susana’s first husband, the Antwerp-born Tavernier, are from 1588. On 29 June 1588, ‘Artus impresor vecino desta ciudad’ is cited as one of the witnesses at the marriage of Martín de Verbis and Maria López. Two days later (on 1 July 1588) Artus, described as ‘flamenco fundidor de letras’, is documented in a notarised letter of intent (‘carta de obligación’) in which he agrees to purchase ‘libros e otras cosas’ from a Parisian bookseller. As the son or nephew of the celebrated punchcutter, type-founder, and printer Ameet Tavernier (ca. 1522-1570), Artus had grown up in Antwerp surrounded by some of the most celebrated exponents of the printing trade. And while Artus himself had already printed at least one music book — the *Premier Livre des Hymnes anciens, mis en vers françois, par Ch. de Navières* (Antwerp, 1580) — his occupation is more commonly given in archival records of the 1590s as ‘fundidor de letras’ rather than ‘impresor’.

As soon after his arrival in Salamanca as 24 January 1591, Artus busied himself founding type for the printer Miguel Serrano de Vargas and his wife María de Urueña. It was during the last decade of the century that Artus must have made the decision to found his own press in Salamanca. On 27 December 1602, we find him in Madrid signing a bill of sale in which he agreed to pay 572 *reales*, the balance that he owed for the total cost of two printing presses and 25.5 arrobas (about 288 kilograms) of metal type, all purchased from a Cristóbal de Contreras acting on behalf of the printers Luis and Francisco Sánchez. Like so many of his expatriate compatriots in Salamanca, Artus adopted a Castilianised form of his surname and in 1604 he began using a printer’s device incorporating an anagram of his name: ‘ARTE NATVS LIBER’. In the seven years between 1602 and his death, which occurred some time before 24 July 1609, Tavernier printed more than 50 titles, six of which contained music, and four of which were large format choirbooks. The 14 months between July 1607 and September 1608 represented an especially intense period for the press, for in this period it produced no fewer than four luxury polyphonic choirbooks, accounting for a total of more than 1,000 pages of printed polyphony. Only twelve days before the fourth of these — Vivanco’s mass book — was completed, Artus and Susana are found, on 12 September 1608, investing the considerable sum of 8,680 *reales* in four presses and a variety of printing supplies. The purchase of these goods from the printer Andrés Renaut in Salamanca is documented in a bill of sale that is important for several reasons. First, it is the earliest notarial document thus far uncovered in which Artus and Susana are listed as equal partners and in which the couple is described as ‘ympresores e mercaderes de libros’. Second, the purchase includes metal type for music as well as text and, third, it shows us that Susana was illiterate, the document being signed on her behalf by a witness. Given the considerable sum involved, it seems possible that the music type might have been lent to Tavernier by Renaut for use in the production of the four polyphonic choirbooks that were printed in 1607 and 1608, all of which use the

---

29 ADSA 417-9, fol. 124v (2 July 1620).  
30 ADSA 417-9, fol. 50v (29 June 1588).  
31 Archivo Histórico Provincial de Salamanca (hereinafter AHPSA), Protocolos Notariales, 2952 (1588), fol. 444.  
33 The unique extant exemplar is held at GB-Lbl under the class mark Music Collections Hirsch III.953.  
35 Archivo Histórico de Protocolos de Madrid, Protocolos Notariales 2173, fol. 836. See Don W. Cruickshank, “Some as-
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music type that was to define the house style of the firm’s polyphonic choirbooks. The fact that four non-music titles printed by Tavernier between 1606 and 1608 were printed in cooperation with Antonia Ramírez, Andrés Renault’s widow, offers further evidence of the kind of close working relationships were typical in Salamanca’s thriving printing community.39

We do not know if the couple’s substantial investment in printing supplies was motivated by any presentiment of Artus’s death. What is clear, though, is that in 1609 Susana faced a crisis: by 24 July 1609, Artus would be dead and she would be held responsible for the considerable debt that they must have incurred in the previous year. At the end of 1609, the first volume to emerge under Susana’s name, albeit in the familiar formulation ‘widow of’, was printed: Luis de Miranda, Exposicion de la regla de los hermanos terceros, assi seglares, como religiosos, comunemente llamados de la penitencia (Salamanca, Por la viuda de Artus Taberniel, 1609).40

Little is known about Francisco de Cea Tesa, the printer whom Susana Muñoz married in late 1609 or 1610.41 In the period 1610 to 1613 Cea Tesa printed 20 volumes, two of which occupy important positions in Spanish music history. The first, issued in 1610, was Montanos’s Arte de canto llano and the second, produced in 1613 with a page count of almost 600, stands as the largest choirbook ever printed in the peninsula: the Psalmorvm, Hymnorum, Magnificarmvm…Tomvs Secvndvs of Juan Esquivel de Barahona. Despite the fact that he proclaimed himself ‘Cordubensis’ in both title pages and colophons, Francisco was not part of the celebrated Cea Tesa printing dynasty that flourished in Córdoba in the period 1588-1703. That Francisco died some time between 25 February 1613, the date given in the colophon to Esquivel’s Psalmorvm, Hymnorum, and 9 June 1613 can be confirmed by reference to a contract for the printing of a book of motets on the latter date. The parties to the contract were Sebastián de Vivanco and Susana Muñoz who is described, on that date, as ‘widow of Artus Taberniel and Francisco de Cea Tesa’.42 The colophon in Vivanco’s book of motets gives its printer as ‘viudam Francisci de Cea Tesa’ and from 1613 until 1620 at least 29 books were issued under her imprint.43 Early in 1620, she would sign a contract with Diego de Bruceña for the printing of the book that is the subject of this article yet the name on its title page would be that of the man she married on 2 July 1620, the printer Antonio Vázquez.

Table 1 lists the eleven music books that were printed by Susana Muñoz or one or other of her three husbands (Artus Tavernier, Francisco de Cea Tesa, and Antonio Vázquez) in the period 1580 to 1620. The simple fact that ten of these books were printed in Salamanca in a fourteen-year period (1606-1620) is one that remains unrecognised in Spanish music historiography. The phenomenon

39 Pedro de la Vega, Declaracion de los siete psalmos penitenciales, primera parte (Salamanca: en la imprenta de Artus Taberniel a costa de Antonio Enríquez, 1606); Francisco Zumel, Tous variarum disputationum tam ad primam partem ad primam secundae sancti Thomae (Salamanca: excudebat Artus Taberniel et Antonia Ramírez, 1607); Antonio Pichardo Vinuesa, In tres priores institutionum imperatoris Iustiniani libros commentarii in quibus omnes fere iuris civilis & regii quaestiones disputantur & definiuntur quos idem auctor secundum recensuit, auxit duplici adiecto indice (Salamanca: apud Artus Taberniel et Antonia Ramírez expensis autor, 1608) and Francisco Zumel, Opuscula libros III. Ad primam partem et ad primam secundae duos variarumque quaestio num alium continentia s. Iledefonsus de Monroy dicat et consecrat (Salamanca: Antonia Ramírez y Artus Taberniel, 1608).

40 The fact that its dedicatory letter is dated 17 October 1609, allows us to conclude that the volume was printed at the end of 1609.

41 On Francisco de Cea Tesa, see Cuesta Gutiérrez, La imprenta en Salamanca, pp. 57-58; Delgado Casado, Diccionario de impresores españoles, vol. 1, p. 136; and José María de Valdenebro y Cisneros, La imprenta en Córdoba. Ensayo bibliográfico (Madrid: Sucesores de Rivadeneyra, 1900), p. xviii.

42 AHPSA PN 3.752, fols. 310r-313v (9 June 1613); ‘viuda muger que fue de Artus Taberniel y de Fran[cis]co de Cesa [Tesa Córdoba] sus maridos difuntos veçinos que fueron de la d[j]e[ca] ciudad.’

43 The title of Vivanco’s motet book [RISM V 2251] is unknown, since none of the four extant copies preserves the title page. Until Javier Marín’s recent discovery in Mexico City Cathedral of the only exemplar with its colophon intact, the publication date of the book of motets was universally, but erroneously, accepted as 1610. Since the colophon date of 1614 is consistent with the date of the printing contract, there is no reason to doubt 1614 as the date of printing and any suggestion that there were two editions must be rejected. See Javier Marín López, “Cinco nuevos libros de polifonía en la Catedral Metropolitana de Méxi-co”, Historia Mexicana, 52(4) (2003), pp. 1073-94. The name Susana Muñoz appears on the title pages of at least 23 volumes; in two others, the printer’s name is given in some such formulation as ‘Susana Muñoz viuda’, and in four others as ‘viuda de Francisco de Cea Tesa’.
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non has been ignored by historians of the book and the fact that the thread connecting the books, and many other non-music imprints, was an illiterate woman from Salamanca has gone unrecognized.

**DIEGO BRUCEÑA’S “LIBRO DE CANTO DE MISAS Y MAGNIFICAS Y MOTETES Y UNA SALUE”**

Thanks to Luis Iglesias’s discovery of some key archival documents, and his painstaking analysis of those documents, we know a good deal about the genesis of Diego de Bruceña’s book of polyphony. Luis Iglesias has shown that on 23 February 1620, Diego de Bruceña signed a power of attorney (‘carta de poder’) authorising Marcos Crespo and Juan Concejó de León to act on his behalf in negotiating an agreement with Susana Muñoz for the printing of “un libro de musisca magnificas motetes y missas”. Concejó de León was a priest and capellán de número of Zamora cathedral and the licenciado Marcos Crespo was a prebendary (‘racionero’) of Salamanca cathedral. On 26 February 1620, in Salamanca, Crespo and Concejó de León, acting as Bruceña’s legal representatives, met with Susana Muñoz to sign a formal contract (‘publica escriptura de asiento’) that specified the precise terms under which Bruceña’s book would be printed. At the same time, they delivered into her hands a specially prepared manuscript containing ‘six masses, eight magnificats and fourteen motetes, labeled “SALUE”’.

The unique exemplar preserved today in the cathedral of Miranda do Douro is incomplete. In addition to its missing slightly more than a third of its pages, the book has suffered damage caused by routine use, as well as mold and humidity on both its covers and its pages. Many of the pages have been torn and creased and paper pasteovers have often been ineptly deployed to repair damaged pages. We know, from an entry in an inventory of 1675 from Cuenca Cathedral, that the volume originally comprised 299 (= 300) pages in 25 gatherings of six folios (= three pliegos). The pages, described in the

---

44 The archival documents include a ‘Poder del Raz[ioner]o di[eg]o brizuela’ (8 November 1617) Archivo Histórico Provincial de Zamora (hereinafter AHPZ), protocolo de Francisco Martínez de la Torre, año 1617, sign. 637, fol. 809, a ‘Poder de Diego de Bruzeña’ (23 February 1620), AHPZ Protocolo de Pedro de Salamanca, año 1620, sig. 764 fols. 69-70, a ‘contrato de impresión’ (26 February 1620) AHPSA PN 2973, fols. 1141r-1444r, and a ‘Testamento diego de bru[nea] maestro de capilla’ (16 December 1622), AHPZ protocolo de Pedro de Astorga, año 1622, sign. 850, fols. 723r-729.

45 AHPSA PN 2973, fol. 1141v.
printing contract as ‘de marca mayor’, now measure 550 mm x 420 mm, having been cropped before binding. The first folio to survive intact is 91-92. Of the title page, only the lower inside quadrant remains, still attached to the binding (see Illustration 1); it is followed by pp. 87-88. There are two loose detached fragments of printed pages. The binding, which appears to be original, consists of wooden boards covered with brown leather that is lightly tooled with a series of concentric rectangles. The edges of the binding boards were originally reinforced with narrow tin strips, some of which are now missing. Both covers measure 575 mm x 435 mm and are furnished with four decorative brass cornerpieces and one brass centerpiece. The remnants of two clasps that might have been of either leather or brass are visible. A single blank paper guard sheet is pasted to the inside front cover and the remains of a similar guard sheet are attached to the inside back cover.

The documentary record does not tell us who was responsible for the day to day decisions that were made in the production of the book. While much was specified in the escritura, or printing contract, that was signed by Bruceña’s representative and Susana Muñoz on 26 February 1620, the book’s title page bears the name of Antonio Vázquez, the printer to whom Susana was formally betrothed on 2 July 1620. For the moment, we can assume that the labour was shared between husband and wife and it is certainly the case that as late as 1625 Vázquez and Muñoz were signing printing contracts as a married couple. The escritura of 1620 clearly states that Bruceña’s book was to be modelled on ‘the book of maestro Vivanco’. Although three books of Vivanco’s music had been printed by Susana Muñoz and her first husband Artus Tavernier, it is clear that the Liber magnificarum (1607) was the model for the six subsequent choirbooks. It is no surprise, therefore, to find that the title page of Bruceña’s book, most of which is now lost, shares distinctive typographical and layout characteristics with Vivanco’s maiden print.

In designing the title page of the Bruceña choirbook (see Illustration 1), Muñoz (and possibly Vázquez) modelled their layout on the title page of Vivanco’s Liber magnificarum with one notable difference: they chose to encase the title page’s text and central copperplate engraving within a decorative border. It was, in fact, the same border employed in two books printed by Francisco

---

Illustration 1. Bruceña choirbook, title page.

---

51 AHPSA PN 2978, fols. 1198r-1203r (25 May 1625).
52 AHPSA PN 2973, fol. 1141r: “Primeramente en que yo el dicho juan concejo en el dicho nombre doy a ymprimir a la dicha susaña muñoz vn libro de canto de misas y magnificas y motetes y una salue compuesto por el dicho mi parte el qual ha de ymprimir de la forma siguiente. Primeramente que ha de ser impresso en la forma que ymprimo el libro del maestro beuanco en el propio punto y las propias pautas y papel y regla”.
53 For a facsimile of the title page of Vivanco’s book of magnificats, see Michael Noone and Graeme Skinner, eds., Se-
de Cea Tesa, Susana’s second husband, in books he printed in 1610 and 1611 respectively.54 The border comprises a number of modular ornaments that are found in many configurations in many books printed by the firm and it is clear that the same metal type was used in different configurations, for different decorative purposes, in many different titles. Illustration 2 shows two of the modular ornaments, joined to give the impression of a single ornament, as they appear in Manrique’s Meditaciones para los días de Quaresma and Illustration 3 shows them in combination with another ornament.55

Illustrations 2 and 3. Modular ornaments from Ángel Manrique, Meditaciones para los días de Quaresma (Salamanca: Francisco de Cea Tesa, 1612), pp. 67 and unnumbered page before colophon.

In her printing of Nicolás Bravo’s Vigilia magna (1616), Susana Muñoz used the same ornaments to mark the beginnings of chapters (see Illustration 4).


Illustrations 5 and 6. Modular ornaments from Juan de Solórzano Pereira, De parricidii crimine disputatio (Salamanca: exudebat Artvs Taberniel Antuerpianus Ioanni Commano Bibliopolæ, 1605), title page and p. 81.

A similar practice is evidenced in the decorative capital letters that mark the beginnings of the voice parts in the Bruceña choirbook. They follow a practice initiated with

---

54 Francisco de Montanos, Arte de canto llano, con entonaciones comunes de coro, y altar, y otras cosas (Salamanca: en casa de Francisco de Cea Tesa, a costa de Andrés López, mercader de libros, 1610) <http://bdh-rd.bne.es/viewer.vm?id=0000110645&page=1> and Juan Alfonso de Curiel, Controversiarum, libri duo (Salamanca: Diego de Cossío and Francisco de Cea Tesa, 1611) <https://babel.hathitrust.org/cgi/pt?id=ucm.5317977484&view=1up&seq=5>. In Montanos’s Arte de canto llano, the border is used to surround an illustration of the Guidonian hand on fol. 4r-4v and in the Curiel volume it appears on the title page. The same decorative border was used to divide the two columns of the index page of Esquivel’s Psalmorvm (1613); for a facsimile of which, see Robert J. Snow, The 1613 Print of Juan Esquivel Barahona (Detroit: Detroit Monographs in Musicology, 1978), p. 15.

55 Ángel Manrique, Meditaciones para los días de Quaresma, sacadas de los Evangelios que canta en ellos la Iglesia, nuestra Madre (Salamanca: Francisco de Cea Tesa, 1612).
the *Liber magnificarum* (1607) and followed in subsequent choirbooks. Here, two modular ornaments (see Illustrations 5 and 6) arranged in a variety of combinations provide a frame surrounding wood block initials (see Illustration 7).

These ornaments had been used in 1605 to designate the major divisions of a volume that Tavernier printed in association with his Flemish colleague Jan Coman (see Illustrations 8 and 9). The fact that the same ornaments were used by Jan Poelman, Coman’s uncle, in the title page of his 1581 edition of Lambin’s *Menaechmi* provides further evidence of the ways in which Salamanca’s community of Flemish printers routinely shared materials and resources.

![Illustration 7. Bruceña choirbook, Tenor initial ‘B’, p. 138.](image)

While much of the title page of Bruceña’s choirbook is missing, the remaining fragment of the lower left corner of its centerpiece engraving reveals three of the tassels that must have hung from the Roman galero of Juan Pérez de la Serna’s heraldic shield.

![Illustrations 8 and 9. Modular ornaments from Juan de Solórzano Pereira, De parricidii crimine disputatio duobus libris comprehensa (Salamanca: excudebat Artvs Taberniel Antuerpianus Ioanni Commano Bibliopolæ, 1605), pp. 1 and 103.](image)
1613 Pérez was promoted from his position as canónigo magistral in Zamora’s cathedral to archbishop of Mexico. After a long and tumultuous tenure in Mexico, he returned to Zamora in 1627.

Although the final letters of the Roman numeral date on the title page have been lost, there can be no doubt that the date was 1620. Proof of the faithful fulfillment of the contract’s stipulation that the printing be completed 75 days after 9 March 1620 is found in a document attesting to Zamora cathedral’s receipt of an exemplar of the choirbook sometime before 31 October 1620. In general terms, the choirbook’s mise-en-page follows the house style that Tavernier defined in 1607 with Vivanco’s Liber magnificarum (see Illustration 10). While the standard layout comprised 12 staves per page, at least 7 pages of the Bruceña choirbook are laid out with 11 staves, at least 8 have 13 staves and at least 4 pages are laid out with 14 staves. With the exception of the fragment of the title page, all evidence of the customary preliminaries that we find in the firm’s six previous choirbooks is missing in this exemplar. We know from a document dated 23 February 1620, however, that Bruceña had indeed obtained the obligatory approbation and license to print. It is likely that there was also a dedi-
catory letter, a ‘tasa’ stating the price at which the book would be sold, and a table of contents.

**THE BRUCEÑA CHOIRBOOK, CONTENTS AND MUSICAL STYLE**

Table 2 gives a summary of the choirbook’s contents together with an indication, in the ‘preservation’ column, of the extent to which the music in missing or damaged parts of the book might be amenable to reconstruction.

Like Esquivel’s *Psalmorvm, Hymnorvm, Magnificarvm...Tomvs Secvndvs* (1613), and unlike any of the other Salamanca choirbooks, Bruceña’s anthology embraces a variety of liturgical genres. The lion’s share of its 300 pages is devoted to six settings of the mass ordinary that together occupy the choirbook’s first 163 pages, more than half of which are missing from the exemplar in Miranda do Douro. Inevitably, therefore, our knowledge of Bruceña’s masses will remain compromised until the almost 90 missing pages come to light. The six masses are followed by a set of eight magnificat settings, one in each of the eight tones. They occupy a little less than a third of the choirbook and are followed by a group of motets occupying 35 pages. At the end of the book, we find an *alternatim* setting of the Marian antiphon *Salve Regina*, and three settings of the final versicle of the office, *Benedicamus Domino*.

Even the most superficial acquaintance with the contents of the Bruceña choirbook will shatter any stylistic preconceptions of Bruceña’s *oeuvre* based on his *Lauda Jerusalem* 8vv (see Music Example 1). Not a single work in the choirbook is scored for more than one choir and none of the works in the choirbook is scored for more than six voices. There is little in the Bruceña choirbook that shares the polyphonic idiom that we find either in the *Lauda Jerusalem* or in so many of the works of such older contemporaries of Bruceña as Tomás Luis de Victoria, Philippe Rogier or in the masses and motets of Sebastián de Vivanco.

All four of Bruceña’s surviving masses are, to borrow Milsom’s terminology, T-masses.63 While the source for the *Missa Veni de Libano* remains elusive. Space permits only a few general observations and they, of course, can only be based on the works that survive. Bruceña’s masses are short and concise. At 494 breves, the *Missa Quae est ista* is the longest and the *Missa Tu es pastor ovium* is the shortest, at only 347 breves. Of the three masses that survive complete, all are scored for four voices (SATB). Only once does he augment the number of voices: by adding a *superius* to his SATB scoring in the *Agnus Dei* of the *Missa Veni de Libano*. None of Bruceña’s mass movements are tripartite and none employ triple metre. Structurally, Bruceña divides his *Gloria* movements into two sections beginning respectively with the words *Et in terra* and *Qui tollis*. While two of his *Credo* movements are tripartite (*Patrem*, *Cruxifixus*, and *Et in spiritum*), a third is divided into only two movements (*Patrem* and *Crucifixus*). Bruceña always treats the *Sanctus* as a single connected movement in which the *Pleni* and the first *Osanna* are fully integrated, without pauses or intermediate cadences. Similarly, all his settings of the *Benedictus* include the second *Osanna* without a break. Bruceña consistently reduces to three voices for his *Benedictus* movements, though the same trio is not used more than once.64 He does not reduce voices for any movement or section other than the *Benedictus*. As for the *Agnus*, Bruceña only ever gives a single movement, invariably setting the petition *miserere nobis*.

Bruceña’s cycle of magnificat settings offers polyphony for the odd-numbered verses of the canticle in each of the eight tones. While the odd-numbered verse settings in Esquivel’s *Psalmorvm, Hymnorvm, Magnificarvm* were clearly designated for use at First Vespers and the even-numbered verse settings were intended for use at Second Vespers, there is no such explicit designation in what survives of the Bruceña choirbook.65 The

---


64 For a discussion of Esquivel’s treatment of the *Sanctus* and *Benedictus* movements in the masses of his 1608 and 1613 books, together with observations concerning wider Spanish practice, see Snow, *The 1613 Print of Juan Esquivel Barahona*, pp. 24–26.

65 The designation for First or Second Vespers is given in both the index page and at the relevant openings of Esquivel’s *Psalmorvm, Hymnorvm, Magnificarvm* (1613). This practice is well documented throughout Spain. See Snow, *The 1613 Print of Juan Esquivel Barahona*, pp. 15 and 21.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Voices</th>
<th>Preservation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Missa Elisabeth Zachariae 4vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Missa Quae est ista 4vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>some losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Missa Tu es pastor ovium 4vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>some losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Missa Veni de Libano 4vv/5vv</td>
<td>S(S)ATB</td>
<td>some losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Masses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Anima mea Primi toni 4vv/3vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Anima mea Secundi toni 5vv/4vv/6vv</td>
<td>SSA(A)TB</td>
<td>some losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Anima mea Tertii toni 4vv/3vv/6vv?</td>
<td>S(S)ATB</td>
<td>incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Anima mea Quartii toni 4vv/3vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>some losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Anima mea Quinti toni 4vv/3vv/6vv</td>
<td>S(S)A(A)TB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Anima mea Sexti toni 4vv/3vv</td>
<td>S(S)ATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Anima mea Septimi toni 4vv/3vv/6vv</td>
<td>S(S)A(A)TB</td>
<td>small losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Anima mea Octavi toni 4vv/3vv/6vv</td>
<td>S(S)A(A)TB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Magnificats</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tribulationes cordis mea 6vv</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Hoc est praeceptum meum 6vv</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Iste sanctus 6vv</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Ave sanctissima Maria 6vv</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Stabat mater 5vv</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>O Ildefonse 4vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Gaudent in caelis 4vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Hic vir despiciens mundum 4vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>O doctor optime 4vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Assumpsit Jesus Petrum 4vv</td>
<td>SSAT</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Veni sponsa Christi 4vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Amavit eum Dominus 4vv</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Motets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Salve regina 5vv</td>
<td>SSATB</td>
<td>incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Benedicamus</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Benedicamus</td>
<td>SATB</td>
<td>incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>? Benedicamus</td>
<td></td>
<td>missing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The Bruceña choirbook, summary of contents.
predominance of odd-verse settings among the magnificats of Juan García de Salazar (Zamora cathedral’s maestro de capilla from 1668 to 1710) might, however, suggest that in Zamora cathedral odd-verse settings were more frequently called for at Vespers than were even-verse or plenary settings. And while his set of eight can-}ticles belongs to the great Spanish tradition of magnificat cycles by such composers as Morales, Guerrero, Esquivel, Vivanco, and Victoria, Bruceña’s is clearly a modest contribution to that tradition.

Table 3 offers a summary overview of Bruceña’s magnificat cycle. Seven of the magnificats are scored for four voices (SATB) and an eighth, the Magnificat Secundi toni, is scored for five voices (SSATB). In all eight magnificats, verse 5 (Et misericordia) is scored for one voice less than the prevailing texture and verse 11 (Gloria Patri) is differentiated by one or more of the following treatments: the augmentation of vocal scoring (in at least five instances), a change in vocal scoring (in at least one instance), the choice of triple metre (in at least one instance), and the canonic treatment of one or more voices (in at least five instances). Verse 11 of Bruceña’s Magnificat Octavi toni brings the entire cycle to a climactic denouement in which all

Table 3. Summary overview of Bruceña’s magnificat cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone &amp; final</th>
<th>Verse 1</th>
<th>Verse 3</th>
<th>Verse 5</th>
<th>Verse 7</th>
<th>Verse 9</th>
<th>Verse 11</th>
<th>Intervallic distance</th>
<th>Temporal distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, G final</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>missing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, G final</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>unison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, A final</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>3vv AA? missing</td>
<td>missing</td>
<td>e:</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>S1 in diatesaron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, E final</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e3/2: SA: e3/2: TA:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, A final</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>A2 in diapente (A2 at the upper 5th)</td>
<td>1 breve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, F final</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>A2 in septima (A2 at the upper 7th)</td>
<td>1.5 breves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, A final</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td>T in diapason (T at the upper octave), A2 in diapente (A2 at the lower 5th), B in duodecima (B at the lower 12th)</td>
<td>1 breve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8, G final</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>SSAATB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

66 Canonic voices are given in bold face and underlined. Missing voices are indicated by a question mark.
68 On the magnificat as a genre in Spain, see the survey in José María Llorens Cisteró, Francisco Guerrero, Opera Omnia: Magnificat per omnes tonos, Monumentos de la Música Española, vol. 56 (Barcelona: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 1999), vol. 10, pp. 15-90 and Joseph Sargent, “The polyphonic magnificat in Renaissance Spain” (Ph.D. diss., Stanford University, 2009).
voices (SSAATB) sing a paraphrase of the eighth magnificat tone in a triumphant six-in-two canon.

The group of twelve motets is the only section of the choirbook to have survived without significant loss or damage. The composer’s unusual choice of texts, with no fewer than seven motets for use in the commune sanctorum and no motets designated for the major feasts of the temporale, stands in stark contrast to most calendrically ordered motet collections of the post-Tridentine era. This is perhaps a reflection of local Zamoran use. Of the seven motets scored for four voices, the Transfiguration motet Assumpsit Jesus Petrum is scored for a suitably incandescent group of high voices (SSAT) while the remaining six are scored for SATB. Only one motet, the Stabat mater, is scored for SAATB. The four motets scored for six voices (SSAATB) follow a pattern that is repeated throughout the choirbook: when Bruceña adds voices beyond the SATB complement, it is higher voices that he adds to his palette. Not a single movement or work calls for more than one tenor or more than one bass. Bruceña’s motets share the same brevity that we observed in the masses. Seven motets occupy single openings of the choirbook, four occupy two openings, and only one, the Ave sanctissima Maria, occupies as many as three openings.

The final section of our choirbook, occupying only twelve pages, comprises a Salve Regina and three settings of the Benedicamus. All four works are either incomplete or missing entirely. Alternatim settings of the Salve Regina by Iberian composers were not all based on the same version of the chant, and they exhibit a wide variety of minor variants in the text. This diversity of treatment is also apparent in the three verses that were set polyphonically: Vita dulcedo (SSATB), Ad te suspiramus (SSAT), and Nobis post hoc (SSATB). Not one of the three Benedicamus settings at the end of the book survives complete.

If Bruceña’s anthology was the composer’s first and only choirbook, it was the seventh and last that would emerge from the printing firm owned and managed by Susana Muñoz in partnership with one or other of her three husbands. While the choirbook offers a repertory that promises further insights into Latin liturgical music in the first decades of the 17th century in Zamora, it brings to a close a period that witnessed Spain’s most prolific production of printed choirbooks: seven in the space of thirteen years. And while Susana Muñoz’s story is untold and her contribution to the printing of sacred music in Spain awaits exploration, our understanding of the relationship between music and print in early modern Spain will remain sadly incomplete.

The inventory that follows is designed to give as complete an accounting as possible of the Bruceña choirbook as it survives in Miranda do Douro together with what can be determined about the parts and contents of the book that do not survive. This inventory is offered with the hope that it will aid the recovery, in whole or in part, of other exemplars of the Bruceña book and that it will assist in identifying previously unattributed concordances in manuscript copies.

In the inventory, a standardized title as used in modern liturgical books is given in bold type for each piece. Elsewhere, original spelling and orthography has been retained as much as possible. Full texts of the motets, for example, are given in diplomatic transcriptions together with a source, usually liturgical or scriptural, for the text when that source can be identified. The inventory records running titles on all pages of the choirbook; those on odd-numbered pages give the title of the piece, and the number of voices for which it is scored and those on even-numbered pages give a Latin form of the composer’s name. In the choirbook’s running titles, each magnificat is identified by its tone. In the case of motets, a liturgical designation is given. Incipits are given as photographic reproductions of all surviving voices. The source motets of T-masses are given when known and such performance instructions as ‘SVPERIVS TACET’ are transcribed without comment. Text that is the result of the author’s reasoning is given in square brackets and doubts are expressed by a question mark.

---


70 For permission to reproduce these images, I thank the Museu da Terra de Miranda and its director Celina Bárbaro Pinto.
### INVENTORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>blank paper page pasted to inside front cover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title page</td>
<td>A fragment of the lower inside quadrant is all that remains of the torn title page: SVPERIORVM [PERMISSV] SALMA[N][T][ICÆ] Ex officina typographica ANTONIJ V[AZQUEZ] Anno à Christo nato M. DC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-93 Missa Elisabeth Zachariae (4vv)</td>
<td>92 MISSA ELISABETH ZACHARIE. iij vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 93 Kyrie, Gloria, and Credo: missing. Sanctus ([86]-[89]): Superius and Tenor missing; small losses from Altus and Bassus; Osanna in 3/2. The upper outside quadrant of pp. 87-88 survives, detached from the book. Most of the Altus and some of the Bassus is preserved on p. 87 while most of the Superius and some of the Tenor is preserved on p. 88. A small fragment of the outer side of pp. [89]-[90] survives, detached from the binding. It preserves some notes from the Bassus of the Sanctus and the Tenor of the Benedictus. Benedictus ([90]-91): 3vv (SAT), Altus complete; Superius missing, significant losses from Tenor. Agnus (92-3): Superius, Altus, Tenor complete; Bassus lacks most of final stave. Source motet: Francisco Guerrero, <em>Elisabeth Zachariae</em> (secunda pars: Dominus ab utero) 5vv (SSTTB) from <em>Motteta</em> (Venice: Filios Antonii Gardani, 1570) [RISM G4871], no. 48 and <em>Motecta</em> (Venice: Giacomo Vincenti, 1597) [RISM G4877], no. 47.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 94-123 | **Missa Quae est ista** (4vv)  
94 MISSA QVE EST ISTA QVE PROGREDITVR. iiiij. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 95 |

Kyrie (94-99): Superius, Altus, Bassus complete; minor losses from Tenor.  
Gloria (100-107): Superius complete; minor losses from Altus, Tenor and Bassus.  
Credo (108-117): Superius and Altus complete; minor losses from Tenor and Bassus.  
Sanctus (118-119): complete.  
Benedictus (120-121): 3vv (SAB), complete.  
Agmus (122-123): complete.  
Source motet: Giovanni Pierluigi da Palestrina, *Quae es ista quae progreditur* 5vv (SSSTTB) from *Motettorum quinque vocibus liber quartus* (Rome: Alessandro Gardano, 1584) [Tenor and Bassus, 1583; Superius, Altus, and Quintus, 1584] [RISM P716], no. 23.

| 124-141 | **Missa Tu es pastor ovium** (4vv)  
124 MISSA TV ES PARTOR [sic] OVIVM. iiiij. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 125 |

Kyrie (124-125): Superius and Altus complete; significant losses from Tenor, minor losses from Bassus.  
Gloria (126-129): Superius and Altus complete; significant losses from Tenor, minor losses from Bassus.  
Credo (130-135): Superius and Altus complete; significant losses from Tenor and Bassus.  
Sanctus (136-137): Superius and Altus complete; some losses from Tenor and Bassus.  
Benedictus (138-139): 3vv (SAT) complete.  
Agmus (140-141): complete.  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>142-163</td>
<td>Missa Veni de Libano (4vv)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>MISSA VENI DE LIBANO. iiij. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Kyrie** (142-143): Superius, Altus, and Bassus complete; losses from Tenor.  
**Gloria** (144-147): complete.  
**Credo** (148-157): Superius and Altus complete; significant losses from Tenor and Bassus.  
**Sanctus** (158-159): complete.  
**Benedictus** (160-161): 3vv (ATB) complete. SVPERIVS TACET.  
**Agnus** (162-163): 5vv (SSATB) complete.  
Identity of mass model (Veni de Libano) yet to be determined.

| 164-175 | Magnificat Primi toni (4vv/3vv) |
| 164 | PRIMI TONI. iiij. vocum // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 165 |
| Pages 173-175 missing. |

**Anima mea** (164-165): 4vv (SATB) complete.  
**Quia respetit** (166-167): 4vv (SATB) complete.  
**Et misericordia** (168-169): 3vv (SAT) complete. BASVS REQVIESCIT.  
**Deposuit** (170-171): 4vv (SATB) complete.  
**Suscepit** (172-[173]): 4vv (SATB) Superius and Tenor complete; Altus and Tenor missing.  
**Gloria patri** ([174-175]): missing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| [176]-187 | Magnificat Secundi toni (5vv/4vv/6vv)  
[176 SECVNDI TONI. v. vocum.] // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 177  
Page 176 missing. |

Anima mea ([176]-177): 5vv (SSATB) Superius 1 and Tenor missing; Superius 2, Altus, and Bassus complete.  
Quia respetit (178-179): 5vv (SSATB) complete.  
Et misericordia (180-181): 4vv (SSAT) complete.  
Deposuit (182-183): 5vv (SSATB) complete.  
Suscepit (184-185): 5vv (SSATB) complete.  
Gloria patri (186-187): 6vv (SSAATB) complete. Superius 1 Canon in vnisonus; Tenor sings second tone cantus firmus.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 188-197 | **Magnificat Tertii toni (4vv/3vv/6vv?)**  
188 TERTII TONI. iii. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 189  
Pages 191-196 missing.  

Anima mea (188-189): 4vv (SATB) complete.  
Quia respexit (188-189): 4vv (SATB) Superius, Altus, Tenor complete; minor losses from Bassus.  
Et misericordia (190-191): 3vv (AAB?) Altus 1 complete; Altus 2 and Bassus (?) missing.  
Deposuit ([192-193]): [4vv (SATB)] missing.  
Suscepit ([194-195]): [4vv (SATB)] missing.  
Gloria patri ([196]-197): 5vv? (SSATB) Superius 1 and Tenor missing; Superius 2 and Altus complete; minor losses from Bassus. Altus: Superius in diatesseron (sic). |
| 198-207 | **Magnificat Quarti toni (4vv/3vv)**  
198 QVARTI TONI. iiiij. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 199  

Quia respexit (198-199): 4vv (SATB) Superius, Altus, Tenor complete; minor losses from Bassus.  
Et misericordia (200-201): 3vv (SAT) complete. BASVS TACET.  
Deposuit (202-203): 4vv (SATB) complete.  
Suscepit (204-205): 4vv (SATB) Superius, Altus, Tenor complete; losses from Bassus.  
Gloria patri (206-207): 4vv (SATB) Superius, Altus, Bassus complete; losses from Tenor. S and A have ε3/2; T and B have ε3/2 in error. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 208-219 | **Magnificat Quinti toni (4vv/3vv/6vv)**  
208 QVINTI TONI. iiiij. vocum // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 209 |
| 220-231 | **Magnificat Sexti toni (4vv/3vv)**  
220 SEXTI TONI. iiiij. vocum // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 221 |

**Anima mea** (208-209): 4vv (SATB) complete.
**Quia respexit** (210-211): 4vv (SATB) complete.
**Et misericordia** (212-213): 3vv (SAT) complete. BASVS REQVIESCIT.
**Deposuit** (214-215): 4vv (SATB) complete.
**Suscepit** (216-217): 4vv (SATB) complete.
**Gloria patri** (218-219): 6vv (SSAATB) complete. Altus 2: CANON IN DIAPENTE.

**Anima mea** (220-221): 4vv (SATB) complete.
**Quia respexit** (222-221 [recte 223]): 4vv (SATB) complete.
**Et misericordia** (224-225): 3vv (SAT) complete. BASVS TACET.
**Deposuit** (226-227): 4vv (SATB) complete.
**Suscepit** (228-227 [recte 229]): 4vv (SATB) complete.
**Gloria patri** (230-231): 4vv (SSAT) complete.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>232-241</td>
<td><strong>Magnificat Septimi toni (4vv/3vv/6vv)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>232 SEPTIMI TONI. iiii. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Quia respexit</em> (232-233): 4vv (SATB) complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Et misericordia</em> (234-235): 3vv (ATB) complete. SVPERIVS TACET.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Deposuit</em> (236-237): (SATB) complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Suscepit</em> (238-239): 4vv (SATB) complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Gloria patri</em> (240-241): 6vv (SSAATB) Superius 1, Superius 2, Altus 1, Altus 2, Bassus complete; minor losses from Tenor; Altus 1: Resoluto in Septima, Bassus: CANON Altus in Septima.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242-251</td>
<td><strong>Magnificat Octavi toni (4vv/3vv/6vv)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>242 OCTAVI TONI. iiii. vocum // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Quia respexit</em> (242-243): 4vv (SATB) complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Et misericordia</em> (244-245): 3vv (SAT) complete. BASVS REQVIESCIT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Deposuit</em> (246-247) 4vv (SATB) complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Suscepit</em> (248-249): 4vv (SATB) complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252-255</td>
<td><strong>Tribulationes cordis mea (6vv)</strong>&lt;br&gt;252 PRO QVACVQNQVE NECESSITATE. vj. vocu[m]. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 253&lt;br&gt;Motet (SSAATB), all voices complete. TRibulationes cordis mei dilatate sunt, De necessitatibus meis, eripe me Domine vide humilitatem meam &amp; laborem meum, &amp; dimite omnia peccata mea. (Psalm 24: 17-18).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256-259</td>
<td><strong>Hoc est præceptum meum (6vv)</strong>&lt;br&gt;256 COMVNE APOSTOLORVM. vj. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 257&lt;br&gt;Motet (SSAATB), all voices complete. HOC est præceptum meum, vt diligatis inuicem sicut dilexi vos. Maiorem charitatem nemo habet, vt animam suam ponat quis pro amicis suis. (John 15: 12-13).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 260-263 | **Iste Sanctus** (6vv)  
260 COMVNE VNIVS MARTIRIS. vj. vocu[m]. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 261 |

Motet (SSAATB), all voices complete. 
ISte sanctus pro lege Dei sui, certauit vsque ad mortem, & à verbis impiorum non timuit fundatus enim erat supra firmam petram. (Antiphon at the magnificat, common of a martyr).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 264-269 | **Ave sanctissima Maria (6vv)**  
264 DE VEATA VIRGINE. vj. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 265 |

Motet (SSAATB), all voices complete. Words *tu singularis* set in $\frac{3}{2}$. S1 and S2 have $\frac{3}{2}$ in error.  
*Ave sanctissima Maria. Mater Dei, Regina cœli, Porta Paradisi, Domina mundi, tu singularis pura es Virgo tu Concepisti Iesum sine peccato, libera me ab omni malo, & ora pro peccatis meis. (Marian devotional antiphon, *Breviarium Romanum completissimum* [Venice: Lucantonio Giunta, 1522] fol. 23v.*
Motet (SSATB), all voices complete.

STabat mater dolorosa,
iusta crucem lachrimosa,
dum pendebat Filias.

Cuius animam gemente[m],
Contristantem & dolentem
pertransiuit gladius.

ò quam tristis & afficta
fuit illa benedicta,
mater vnigeniti. (Sequence extract).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>274-275</td>
<td><strong>O Ildefonse (4vv)</strong>&lt;br&gt;274 DE BEATO ILLEFONSO. iiii. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motet (SATB), all voices complete.&lt;br&gt;O Ildefonsæ per te vibit domina mea quæ cæli culmina tenet &amp; præsule colaudato redibat. (Constantinus Caetanus, <em>Sanctorum trium episcoporum religionis</em>… [Rome: Mascardum, 1606], p. 118).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276-277</td>
<td><strong>Gaudent in caelis (4vv)</strong>&lt;br&gt;276 COMVNE PLVRIMORVM MARTIRVM. iiii. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motet (SATB), all voices complete.&lt;br&gt;GAudent in cœlis animæ sanctorum qui Christi vestigia sunt securti, &amp; quia pro eius amore sanguine[m] suum suderunt. (Antiphon at the magnificat, common of many martyrs).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
278-279  *Hic vir despiciens mundum* (4vv)
278 Comune Confessorum non Pontificum. iiiij. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 279

Motet (SATB), all voices complete.
Hic vir despiciens mundum & terrena triumphas, diuitia cœlo condidit ore manu. (Antiphon at the magnificat, common of a confessor not a bishop).

280-281  *O doctor optime* (4vv)
280 COMVNE DOCTORVM. iiiij. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 281

Motet (SATB), all voices complete.
O Doctor optime Ecclesie sancte lumen, Beate Hieronyme, diuinæ legis amator depræcare pro nobis filium Dei. (Antiphon at the magnificat, common of doctors of the church).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 282-283 | **Assumpsit Jesus Petrum (4vv)**  
282 In transfiguracione Domini. iiiij. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 281 [recte 283]  
Motet (SSAT), all voices complete.  
ASumpsit Iesus Petrum & Iacobum & Ioannem fratre[m] eius, eduxit eos in montem excelsum seorsum & transfiguratus est ante eos. (Matthew 17, 1-2a).  
The first note in the fourth stave of the Tenor has been corrected by hand to F sharp. |
| 284-285 | **Veni sponsa Christi (4vv)**  
284 COMVNE VIRGINVUM. iiiij. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 285  
Motet (SATB), all voices complete.  
VEni sponsa Christi accipe coronam quam tibi Dominus preparauit in eternum. (Antiphon at the magnificat, common of virgins). |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 286-287 | *Amavit eum Dominus* (4vv)  
286 Comune Confessorum Pontificum. iiiij. vocum. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 287  

Motet (SATB), all voices complete.  
*Amavit eum Dominus & ornauit eum, stolam gloriæ induit eum, & ad portas Paradisi coronauit eum.*  
(Antiphon at the magnificat, second vespers, common of a confessor bishop). |
| 288-[293] | *Salve regina* (5vv)  
288 DE BEATA VIRGINE. v. vocu[m]. // DIDACVS DE BRVCEÑA. 289  

Marian antiphon (SSATB) alternatim setting.  
*Vita dulcedo* (288-289): 5vv (SSATB) complete.  
*Ad te suspiramus* (290-291): 4vv (SSAT) complete.  
*Nobis, post hoc exitium* (292-[293]): 5vv (S[S]ATB) Superius 1, Tenor, and Bassus complete; Superius 2 missing; significant losses from Altus. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[294-295]</td>
<td><strong>Benedicamus Domino [1]</strong> (4vv)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Benedicamus Domino* ([294-295]): 4vv (SATB) Superius and Altus missing; minor losses from Tenor, some losses from Bassus.

p. 294 (beneath Tenor):
- BASVS. Eam in Subdiapason.
- SVPERIVS. Basum in duodezima.
- ALTVS. Superi[m] in Subdiapason.
- TENOR. Altum in Subdiapason.

p. 295 (beneath Bass):
- Quando fuerit hæc.
- Omnes he quatuor vozes.
- Vt sunt Anotate.

| [296-297] | **Benedicamus Domino [2]** (4vv) |

*Benedicamus Domino* ([296-297]): 4vv (SATB): Superius missing, Tenor complete; Altus and Bassus missing.

| [298-299] | **Benedicamus Domino 3** |

*?Benedicamus Domino* ([298-299]). Missing.

| [300] | [colophon] |
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